Nuisance	Trespass	Battery
Standing (Matherson v Northcote College) interest (Hunter)	Act or failure to leave or	Application of force <i>Cole;</i> weapon, continuing <i>Fagan</i>
Hunter (Cooke) Occupation; control (Foster)	causing entry	Intentional action (per se) Moir; Bettel; Letang
(A) Physical damage	Intentional Intent to act;	Hostility Wilson hostile intent or hostile action q of
Emanation (<i>Hunter</i>), descriptive (<i>BEMA</i>); natural force	Fail to prevent a trespass	fact; West Berkshire (doubts)
(Sedleigh-denfield); Thompson prostitutes; Greenwood sunrays	(League)	Direct Letang (no consequential)
Hunter (Cooke obiter) malicious building	Direct not consequential	Everyday life; Wilson Context
Non-trivial Halsey	<i>Greggory v Piper</i> - rubbish	Defences
Super sensitivity (Robinson); except malice (Silverfox)	falling onto wall was direct	<u>Consent</u> : Necessity (balance against intrusion) <i>F v</i>
Causation (Halsey); Allowing to continue (Delaware Mansions	Esso Petroleum v Southport -	West Berkshire
<i>Ltd; Sedleigh-Denfield</i>); reasonable time to abate (<i>Delaware</i>)	oil taken by tide was too	Self-defence: reasonable Cockcroft v Smith; s 48
Super sensitivity Ordinary use (Robinson)	consequential	Crimes ACT Imminent and real risk of attack [Ashley
<u>Enjoyment</u>	Defences	Damages no foreseeability Bettel
Locality (Halsey) Cars in urban area, clean air in city (Halsey)	Implied license (Robson	False Imprisonment
Collective smoke in town, new smoke (Cran in <i>St Helen's</i>)	Ex turpi causa (Leason v AG)	Total restraint
Nature (reasonable p) Smells must be more than trivial, noise:	Standing Hunter	Full restraint -> inconvenience)
intrusive, (Halsey) Intensity, Frequency Greenwood, Timing		More than mere awkwardness (Brid)
(Halsey)	ASSAULT	No justification
Malice Christie; definitive right" Allen v Flood; Vengeful malice	Act: Holcombe words alone	Person's protection
is not <i>Ibottson</i>	Intended to cause plaintiff	Murray- Did not say under arrest till leaving house to
<u>Coming to</u> (<i>Miller</i> ; <i>Struges</i> ; <i>Fen Tigers</i>) changes property;	to apprehend Brady;	prevent panic/ person escaping <u>Under contract Robinson, Herd</u>
obiter (Fen)	Richardson	Justification Brockhill: can only be justified on grounds
Public interest (Miller; Sturges, Fen)	Conditional Tuberville: I	the court will uphold as lawful
Injunction Partial (<i>Kennaway</i>), prevent nuisance (<i>Greenwood</i>)	won't; <i>Greaves</i> : if you	Arrest unlawful when court
Damages in lieu s13 Senior C 2016	<u>Means</u> Stephens, Brady:	Intentional,
Shelfer: small injury; estimated in money; adequately	<u>unaware</u> R v Kerr	Awareness?
compensated oppressive injunction. No DiL if malice (Fen)	Intend to make the threat	Brockhill prison; could be asleep, drunk or unconscious/
Consider: business shut down Antrim; Public interest; (Miller;	Defences (See battery	unaware; Meering may lower damages
Kennaway & Greenwood say no). Planning permission (Fen		Damages; Nominal: no damage; Compensatory: bills,
Tigers) Come 2 (Cumming-Bruce LJ Miller)		Special quantifiable, Aggravated Forde, emotional
		harm, hostility, <u>Vindicatory</u> rights <i>Baigent</i> affirm
		Exemplary make an example

Defamation	Wilkinson v Downton High standard, element of malice involved	
Charleston: <u>D</u> ? Sim; Youssoupoff; Parmiter	Conduct directed at the plaintiff Rhodes	
<u>ID</u> : <i>Hulton</i> : of or concerning the plaintiff: <i>Morgan</i> reasonably acquainted;	Intentional Infer intention Rhodes,	
Knupfer; Derbyshire (councils); s 6 companies must show loss	Intended to cause severe distress <i>Rhodes</i> "so plainly calculated'	
<u>Pub</u> : <i>Pullman</i> must make known the words to any other person, other than the	Cause a recognised psychiatric illness	
plaintiff, sending out of their control	Privacy	
	<u>Hosking</u>	
<u>Truth</u> sting s 8 (3) (a), whole 8 (3) (b) <i>TVNZ v Haines</i> – plaintiff's	Reasonable expectation of privacy (Gault and Blanchard JJ) or	
<u>HO</u> Eyes doubtful; Clarke: "deduction, conclusion, criticism, remark, observe" t/f	information and material (Tipping J)	
Genuine - Other persons: s10	Nature things which most people don't know, health, finances,	
Facts (s11), Submitted 2 pub Kemsley, No malice s10(3)	activities which reasonable person considers private <i>Hosking</i> ;	
Absolute Privilege Prebble v TVNZ, Jennings now reversed	more than general news (Andrews)	
Qualified Privilege	Where it was gathered Superstitiously (Murray)	
<i>Watt v Longsdon:</i> legal, moral or social duty. Reciprocal duty, interest or common	No priv on street except vulnerable Campbell, Peck	
Horrocks Desire to injure. Recklessly published; Duty must play no significant	Amplification (Andrews, Campbell, Peck)	
part in the motivation <u>S19. Vickery</u> : alert police	Public figures Children: spills over from parents Hosking	
Public interest privilege	Publication Hosking, Andrews – Rogers doubts	
journalist must be responsible <i>Reynolds</i>	No <u>Fragilities</u> , <u>Extent or ton</u> e is relevant	
Seriousness, nature (extent of concern), source integrity, attempts to verify, also	Failure to get consent isn't offensive	
under respectable investigation, urgency, both sides sought, contains gist of	humiliating and embarrassing Andrews	
plaintiff's story, tone, circumstances (including timing) Jameel Didn't wait for	Public concern – low standard Shulman logical connection	
response and was not responsible, must weigh up FoE/ public concern vs	Murray approach	
reputation Bonicks 10 Factors in Reynolds to be balanced	Breach of reasonable expectation	
Lange (NZ) Exists when: published generally, concern of representative or	Depend on circumstances	
responsible government, particularly if about MPs or Candidates; of public	Highly offensive if placed in the same position as the claimant	
concern (6) Qualifying occasion i	and faced with the same situations	
Lost when: s 19; Lange responsible consideration applied by CPA		
Statutory Qualified privilege	<u>C v Holland</u>	
Sch 1 p 2 must be of public interest in at least one place <i>Ferrymead</i> ; s 18	An intentional and unauthorised intrusion;	
	Into seclusion	
INJUNCTION Auckland Area Healthboard: no injunction unless no defence	Involving an infringement of a reasonable expectation of privacy	
	That is highly offensive to a reasonable person	